	Case 2:11-cv-00281-ROS Document 22 Filed 05/02/11 Page 1 of 3
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	
9	State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co.,) No. CV 11-00281-PHX-ROS
10	Plaintiff, ORDER
11	vs.
12	Coni Rebarcak; et. al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	
16	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment. (Doc. 20). For
17	the reasons below, the Court will require additional briefing before ruling on the Motion for
18	Default Judgment.
19	BACKGROUND
20	On October 7, 2010, Plaintiff filed its Complaint (Doc. 1) for breach of contract. The
21	Complaint names as defendants Coni Rebarcak and Jeff Rebarcak, husband and wife (the
22	"Rebarcaks") d/b/a R3 Holdings, LLC. The Complaint alleges breach of a settlement
23	agreement (the "Contract"), which is attached to the Complaint. Summons' were issued on
24	October 13, 2010 and served on the Rebarcaks on October 27, 2010. (Docs. 5, 6, 19). The
25	Rebarcaks have not answered.
26	
27	¹ The Complaint also names fictitious parties, but Plaintiff has never amended to
28	identify those parties.

On February 4, 2011, Plaintiff applied for application of default against the Rebarcaks. (Doc. 12). The application was accompanied by a affidavits setting forth: the service of the summons and complaint; the Rebarcak's failure to answer; the liquidated amount of damages; a statement that no defendant is an infant, incompetent or presently in military service; and a detailed affidavit in support of Plaintiff's request for attorneys' fees under the settlement agreement. (Doc. 12). On February 11, 2011, the Clerk entered default against the Rebarcaks.

On April 6, 2011, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause (the "OSC") why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. (Doc. 16). On April 13, 2011, Plaintiff lodged a proposed form of default judgment. (Doc. 17). On April 27, 2011, Plaintiff filed a response to the OSC and a Motion for Default Judgment. (Docs. 20, 21).

The proposed form of default judgment recites a principal sum, the amount of costs, and the amount of attorneys' fees.² (Doc. 17). It also seeks an interest rate of 10% per annum from the date of judgment. (Id.). Plaintiff submitted a detailed affidavit in support of the attorneys' fees. (Doc. 12). The principal sum appears to be related to the amounts set forth in the Contract, but does not directly align with any specific number therein. (Doc. 1, Ex. 1).

Plaintiff will be ordered to file a supplement to its Motion for Default Judgment providing: (1) a detailed breakdown of the principal sum and how Plaintiff arrived at this amount; (2) a breakdown of the costs sought by Plaintiff; (3) authority for why a federal court should enter judgment allowing for interest at a rate of 10% per annum or, alternatively, setting forth the appropriate interest rate in federal court; (4) setting forth Plaintiff's intentions as to the fictitious parties (e.g., intention to dismiss fictitious parties). Plaintiff shall refer to supporting documentation in the record (e.g., the Contract attached to Doc. 1, Ex. 1), or provide such supporting documentation not yet in the record. Following

²⁷ _____

² The contract provides for attorneys' fees and costs.